

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/681,418	Applicant(s) SHEPARD ET AL.	
	Examiner LAWRENCE E. CRANE	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) LAWRENCE E. CRANE.

(2) Antoinette Konski.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: Rule 312 amendment filed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2PM

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

63

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant and examiner agreed on minor amendments to address an error in the disclosure and a necessary change to a claim necessitated by an amendment filed under 37 CFR 1.312 and approved.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Lawrence E. Crane/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)